

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

New Issue: Moody's assigns Aa3 to Auburn, ME's \$7.2M 2014 GO Bonds

Global Credit Research - 09 Oct 2014

Affirms Aa3 affecting \$57.2M of GO debt outstanding

AUBURN (CITY OF) ME
Cities (including Towns, Villages and Townships)
ME

Moody's Rating

ISSUE	RATING
2014 General Obligation Bonds	Aa3
Sale Amount \$7,200,000	
Expected Sale Date 10/15/14	
Rating Description General Obligation	

Moody's Outlook NOO

Opinion

NEW YORK, October 09, 2014 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa3 rating to the City of Auburn, ME's \$7.2 million 2014 General Obligation Bonds. Concurrently, Moody's has affirmed the Aa3 rating on the city's approximately \$57.2 million in outstanding general obligation debt. The current issue will fund various capital projects for the city and the school department. The school bonds (\$2.1 million of the current issue) are secured by the city's general obligation unlimited tax pledge. The remainder of the bonds are secured by the city's general obligation limited tax pledge as debt service for municipal purposes is subject to the state's property tax limitation known as LD-1.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Aa3 rating reflects the city's healthy and stable financial position, moderately-sized tax base with average wealth levels, and above-average debt burden.

STRENGTHS

- Sound reserve position supported by a formal fund balance policy and conservative budgeting practices
- Ample property tax levy capacity under LD-1 limit
- Minimal pension and OPEB liabilities

CHALLENGES

- Above average debt burden
- Rising education costs to comply with state mandated school funding requirement

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

CAREFULLY MANAGED FINANCIAL POSITION

Auburn's financial position will remain stable given conservative budgeting practices, management's commitment to maintaining healthy reserve levels as reflected in a formal policy, and flexibility provided by significant property tax levy capacity. Following multiple years of balanced or surplus operations, fiscal 2013 ended with a planned

\$1.6 million draw on General Fund balance. The city has a formal policy to maintain available reserves (unassigned plus assigned fund balance) at a minimum of 12.5% of school and municipal expenditures, and the city has generally maintained reserves in excess of that policy. Following the draw down, this balance declined to \$9.9 million (13.5% of expenditures) from \$11.7 million (16.4% of expenditures) in fiscal 2012. The total General Fund balance was \$12.4 million (a healthy 17.2% of revenues) at the end of fiscal 2013.

According to fiscal 2014 unaudited results, the city expects total fund balance to decline by \$300,000 to \$12.1 million (16.8% of revenues) and available reserves will remain above 13% of expenditures. Fiscal 2014 excise taxes exceeded budgeted projections, although fire department overtime and truck repairs came in over budget. The fiscal 2015 budget increased 3.85% over the prior year due to the addition of EMT services (which were formally outsourced) and increased education costs to comply with the state's school funding requirement. Budgetary growth is offset by projected increases in excise taxes, a 2% property tax levy increase, and a \$2.1 million fund balance appropriation. Education costs will continue to be a budget driver, especially over the near term, as the city is required to increase student funding to comply with state mandated levels within the next two years. Management anticipates this will cost the city approximately \$2 million. Notably, the city's overall financial flexibility is enhanced by a significant \$7.5 million of accumulated excess taxing capacity under the provisions of LD-1, representing the amount of levy growth available for future budgets.

The city is exposed to moderate enterprise risk after leasing a new ice arena that had been constructed by a private developer. The arena opened in November 2013 and the city has entered into an agreement to reimburse the developer for construction costs. The total project is expected to cost the city a total of \$8.2 million, amortized over 30 years. Total payments per year will remain level at approximately \$504,000 (\$42,000 monthly) which represents less than 1% of the city's annual budget. Arena usage fees are expected to be sufficient to pay all operating costs as well as the payments to the developer, but General Fund revenues will be used for any shortfalls. Moody's considers this risk manageable and we will continue to monitor what pressure, if any, the ice arena imposes on the city's General Fund.

MODEST GROWTH EXPECTED FOR MODERATELY SIZED TAX BASE WITH HEALTHY COMMERCIAL PRESENCE

Following multiple years of tax base declines, the city's moderately-sized \$1.9 billion tax base should begin to stabilize in the near term and experience modest growth over the medium term given new commercial development. The tax base, which is 51% residential and 34% commercial/industrial, experienced declines in four of the last five years, leading to an compound annual decrease of 0.6% between 2009 and 2014. While the city does maintain an above average degree of taxpayer concentration, with the top ten taxpayers representing 11.9% of the total assessed value, the largest taxpayer, Tambrands (3.1% of 2014 assessed value), a division of The Procter & Gamble Company (Aa3 stable), has recently made sizable capital investments at its Auburn facility and hired 60 new employees. Additional new development includes a new industrial park, expansion of a trucking company, and the construction of a Hobby Lobby. The city's median family income is on par with the state (97%) and below the nation (90%), and full value per capita is \$83,548. The July 2014 unemployment (5.0%) is below that of the state (5.2%) and nation (6.5%).

CITY WILL REMAIN HIGHLY LEVERAGED

Auburn's debt position will remain above average yet manageable given rapid amortization of principal and future borrowing plans to partially support the Capital Improvement Plan. Including the current issue, the city's debt position is an above average 3.3% of full value, although the city has no overlapping debt. Pension obligation bonds (POBs) issued in 2003 represent a small portion of the city's total outstanding debt (3.5%). Debt service accounted for an above average 9.0% of 2013 expenditures but principal is amortized rapidly, with 95.2% of retired within 10 years. The city's only additional authorized debt relates to a \$5 million parking garage project, debt that officials do not expect to issue. While there is currently no other authorized unissued debt outstanding, the city expects to borrow \$20 to \$25 million over the next four years. Additionally, the city is considering constructing a new high school, although approval of this project will depend on state support. Should the state approve subsidies, pursuant to the city's charter, the project would be subject to referendum approval. All of the city's debt is fixed rate and it is not party to any derivative agreements.

The city issued pension obligation bonds in 2003, which were subsequently refunded in 2012, to fully fund its initial unfunded actuarial liability to the Maine State Retirement System. In addition, the city maintains a single employer defined benefit plan for police and fire employees who joined prior to the city's participation in the state plan. The reported unfunded liability is less than \$1 million for 14 retirees. The city's teachers participate in the Maine Public Employees Retirement System's Teacher Plan, which is administered at the state level. Beginning in fiscal 2014, the city is responsible for approximately half of the normal cost of the teachers' plan. The city contributed \$491,000

in fiscal 2014. This additional contribution is not expected to pressure the city's financial position over the near term. The OPEB liability is modest at \$3.5 million as it is limited to the value of its implicit rate subsidy to retirees that buy into the health insurance benefit plan offered to active employees.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

- Substantial growth of reserve levels
- Sustained trend of material tax base growth and diversification
- Significant improvement in demographic profile relative to state and national medians
- Material decline in the debt burden

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

- Trend of operating deficits resulting in a material decline in reserves
- Declines in the tax base or deterioration of the demographic profile
- Material growth in debt burden

KEY STATISTICS:

2014 Full Value: \$1.9 billion

2014 Full Value Per Capita: \$83,548

Median Family Income as % of US: 90.0%

Fiscal 2013 Available Fund balance as a % of Revenues: 13.8%

5-Year Dollar Change in Fund Balance as % of Revenues: -0.9%

Fiscal 2013 Cash Balance as % of Revenues: 15.6%

5-Year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as % of Revenues: -0.8%

Institutional Framework: Aa

5-Year Average Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures: 1.0x

Net Direct Debt as % of Full Value: 3.3%

Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues: 0.9x

3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL as % of Full Value: 0.7%

3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL / Operating Revenues: 0.2x

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moody.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for

the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Heather Guss
Lead Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Lauren Von Bargen
Backup Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Geordie Thompson
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA

MOODY'S
INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2014 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO

INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the

control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.